

<p>SUBJECT: DSA2 Hull - Community Liaison Meeting</p> <p>LOCATION: Amazon DSA2 Site Off Brickyard Lane North Ferriby HU14 4RS</p>	<p>PRESENT:</p> <p>Matt Cunningham – Amazon (MC) Sean Donnelly – Amazon (SD) David Spray – Gleeds (DS) Cllr Margaret Corless – South Hunsley Ward ERYC Cllr Paul Hopton – South Hunsley Ward ERYC Cllr Mike Abraham – North Ferriby Parish Council C.Allemendinger – Resident (CA) M.Robson – Resident (MR) Jonathan Stubbs – Wykeland (JS) James Thornton – TSL (JT) Matthew Hallam-TSL (MH) Matthew Thomas – TSL (MT) Shaun Hogkins – TSL(SH)</p>
<p>DATE: 5/3/24</p> <p>MEETING NO: 05</p>	<p>APOLOGIES:</p> <p>Cllr Mike Thane - Welton Parish Council Thomas Chatfield – ERYC Freddie Johnson – Gleeds (DS) Daniel Taylor – Gleeds (DT)</p>

	ACTION	OWNER	TARGET DATE	NOTE
1.0 INTRODUCTION				
1.01	Initial introductions were undertaken	MT		
2.0 TSL Presentation				
2.01	A brief presentation was given by MT with an overview of the last meeting. The minutes will follow the format of said presentation.			
2.02	<u>Community liaison presentation</u> TSL presented their up dated Community Liaison Presentation, copy attached.			
2.03	General Note. Issues were raised after the presentation these items will be addressed in the Q&A section of the minutes.			Noted

2.04	<p><u>Q&A</u> MR made a comment that upon reviewing the site from the new cabin location the sparseness of the wooded area and the closeness of the houses is very evident. He was of the belief within the planning decision that the planting would screen the building. JS stated that there was an agreed planting scheme within the open space agreed planning decision.</p>		Ongoing	
2.05	<p><u>CLM Letter Drop</u> The next news letter was being formulated ready for distribution.</p>	TSL		Noted
2.06	<p><u>Boundary / Open Space</u> CA enquired when the acoustic fence was being installed SH stated this would commence April/ May 24. CMC enquired with regards to the mitigation strategy to work around T4. SH stated that this had been incorporated into the ecological watching brief that had been submitted to planning, the design being such that a bridging section had been incorporated. The foundations were pad foundations dug locally. MA enquired as to the life cycle of the fence as the posts were timber JT stated the life cycle was typically 20 years. MA lodge his disappointment that the base of the fence was not constructed out of concrete and top soil not mounded against it. MC confirmed that the design was in line with the planning documents. CA enquired whether the foundations would be formed with a piling rig. MT stated not these would be formed via excavators dig pad foundations.</p>			
2.07	<p><u>CCS</u> CA enquired whether TSL had liaised with the school JT updated the group with regards to the Social Value Plan and that the school liaison was part of this, the site teams DBS checks were in the process of being compiled. MR enquired as to whether the Risk Assessments raised by the South Hunsley School head teacher had</p>			

been addressed. The school was concerned with regards to particulates and safe access. JS stated that an Impact Assessment had been carried out as part of the planning application.

MR stated that there was more concern with regards the vehicular particulates rather than the construction process, as many doctors lived in North Ferriby and they had lodged many objections regarding the Health Impact Assessment of the operations not the construction phase.

MA highlighted that there were 200 vehicle movements predicted by HGVs per day.

JS enquired whether there were any objections to the Smith & Nephew scheme near by.

CMC stated that there were not a lot of vehicle movements on the S&N scheme.

MA stated that the concern was on HGV movements not cars.

MA stated that the action levels for the particulate levels are greater than those stated in the planning documents.

Mc stated that TetraTec had installed the monitoring and that they had not been informed of any breaches of the action levels.

POST MEETING NOTE:

MC confirmed that there had been no alerts from TetraTec with regards to elevated particulate levels, and that all readings were within the guidelines.

MA stated that the particulate levels were a wider issue for East Riding as they had committed to reducing particulate levels by 30% by 2028, this was not an AMZ problem.

CA stated that the planning conditions were being breached.

MA shared a print out of the particulate reading were they were breached.

TSL & GLs reviewed the printout and the excessive reading was for Sunday at 9pm. TSL stated that they had no presence on site.

MA stated that a resident at the end of Long Plantation had a monitoring station and was recording the particulates.

	<p>MA stated that he was disappointed that there was no representation from East Riding.</p>			
2.08	<p><u>Open Space</u> JS updated the group stating that this week they were carrying out soil testing on the existing bunds MA enquired when the works were commencing JS said they were not in a position to comment on the programme currently as the testing will establish the programme. MA stated that there was a lot more soil on the drawings than was currently stored on the open space JS said that there was enough top soil for the scheme MA enquired when the specialist bit of kit was coming to site to move the topsoil. TSL enquired what specialist bit of kit as the top soil would be moved via excavator. CA enquired whether the open space would be closed during the works. JS said he thinks the areas would be phased so certain areas would be closed off. CA enquired whether the open space would be closed when the acoustic fence was installed. MT stated that it would remain open however the temporary fencing would be moved out in the area of work and then closed as soon as the fence was installed. MA asked why the fence cannot be moved to allow the deer access. JS stated the fence is currently configured in the safest way for the protection of the habitat. JS stated they had not issue with the fence being removed to allow the deer to access. MR enquired whether an ecologist could report on the deer. TSL stated that the deer were not on their site MA enquired whether the area around the pond should be opened up for wildlife . JS will review</p>	ERYC		Noted
2.09	<p>MA raised a concerned with regards to the depositing of lead contaminated soil on the open space. TSL stated there was no lead contamination.</p>			

